



Coarse infinite-dimensionality of hyperspaces of finite subsets

Thomas Weighill^{1,2} · Takamitsu Yamauchi³ · Nicolò Zava^{4,5}

Received: 10 March 2020 / Revised: 24 June 2021 / Accepted: 22 October 2021 /
Published online: 30 December 2021
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

We consider infinite-dimensional properties in coarse geometry for hyperspaces consisting of finite subsets of metric spaces with the Hausdorff metric. We see that several infinite-dimensional properties are preserved by taking the hyperspace of subsets with at most n points. On the other hand, we prove that, if a metric space contains a sequence of long intervals coarsely, then its hyperspace of finite subsets is not coarsely embeddable into any uniformly convex Banach space. As a corollary, the hyperspace of finite subsets of the real line is not coarsely embeddable into any uniformly convex Banach space. It is also shown that every (not necessarily bounded geometry) metric space with straight finite decomposition complexity has metric sparsification property.

Keywords Hyperspace · Hausdorff metric · Coarse embeddability · Coarsely n -to-1 maps

The first named author was supported by the NSF through grant OIA-1937095. The second named author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI grant No. 19K03467.

✉ Nicolò Zava
nicolo.zava@gmail.com

Thomas Weighill
t_weighill@uncg.edu

Takamitsu Yamauchi
yamauchi.takamitsu.ts@ehime-u.ac.jp

- 1 Jonathan M. Tisch College of Civic Life, Tufts University, Medford, MA, USA
- 2 Department of Mathematics & Statistics, The University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, NC, USA
- 3 Division of Mathematics, Physics and Earth Sciences, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan
- 4 Department of Mathematical, Computer and Physical Sciences, Udine University, 33 100 Udine, Italy
- 5 Institute of Science and Technology (IST Austria), 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria

Mathematics Subject Classification 54B20 · 46B85 · 54E45 · 54F45

1 Introduction

Asymptotic dimension introduced by Gromov [17] and coarse embeddability into a Hilbert space (abbr. CE) are fundamental in coarse geometry. In fact, it was proved by Yu [33,34] that every proper metric space with finite asymptotic dimension (abbr. FAD) and every bounded geometry metric space with CE satisfy the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture. Every metric space with FAD satisfies CE, and the following notions between FAD and CE are well-known: asymptotic property C (abbr. APC) [12]; finite decomposition complexity (abbr. FDC) [18,19]; weak finite decomposition complexity (abbr. wFDC) [19]; APC-decomposition complexity (abbr. APCDC) [4]; straight finite decomposition complexity (abbr. sFDC) [13]; property A [34]; metric sparsification property (abbr. MSP) [8]. The definitions of these notions can be found in Definition 2.3. Among these properties, the following implications hold for metric spaces. Here we consider the Higson–Roe condition for property A (abbr. A(HR)) which is equivalent to the original definition of property A for metric spaces of bounded geometry [20, Lemma 3.5].



Implications 1, 6, 7 and 10 were proved in [19, Theorem 4.1], [4, Appendix A], [14, Theorem 4.2] and [9, Proposition 2.10], respectively. Equivalence 9 is obtained by theorems in [7] and [30, Theorem 4.1] for metric spaces of bounded geometry. Implication 11 was proved in [7, Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 3.8] for locally finite metric spaces. Implications 2, 3, 4 and 5 are immediate from their definitions. In Appendix, we show that implication 8 holds for every metric space. To the best of authors’ knowledge, it is unknown whether there is a relationship between APC and (w)FDC and whether arrows 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 can be reversed or not (see [27, Open Questions 2.7.8 and 2.7.9] and [26, Question in 9.4]).

Let (X, d) be a metric space and n a positive integer. In this paper we consider the above properties of the hyperspace $[X]^{<\omega}$ consisting of all non-empty finite subsets of X with the Hausdorff metric (see Sect. 2 for the definition) and its metric subspace $[X]^{≤n}$ consisting of all non-empty subsets of X with at most n points.

Radul and Shukel’ [28] proved the inequality $\text{asdim } [X]^{≤n} ≤ n \text{ asdim } X$ holds whenever X has FAD (see also [21, Theorem 4.6] and [2, Theorem 24.2 and Example 24.15]). In particular, if X has FAD, then $[X]^{≤n}$ has FAD. On the other hand, Dranishnikov and Zarichnyi [14, Proposition 3.6] proved that if X satisfies sFDC, then so does $[X]^{≤n}$ by means of coarsely m -to-1 maps (for the definition, see Sect. 2).

Actually, they proved that there exists a coarsely n^n -to-1 surjective map from the n -th product X^n of a metric space X to $[X]^{\leq n}$ (see Theorem 4.1) and apply the following two theorems.

- (1) sFDC is preserved under taking finite products (which follows from [5, Theorem 5.2]).
- (2) sFDC is preserved through coarsely m -to-1 maps [16, Theorems 8.4 and 8.7].

In Sect. 3, we show that wFDC and APCDC are preserved under taking finite products by applying theorems in [4], and that they are also preserved through coarsely m -to-1 maps. Applying these results and theorems in [5,10,14,16], we obtain that APC, MSP, wFDC and APCDC are closed under taking hyperspaces of subsets with at most n points in Sect. 4.

Concerning the hyperspace $[X]^{<\omega}$, it was proved in [11, Proposition 2.3] that if X is a metric space of asymptotic dimension zero, then so is $[X]^{<\omega}$. The situation is completely different if a metric space X has positive dimension. In that case, $\text{asdim } X^n \geq n$ as Banach proved in [1], and thus $\text{asdim } [X]^{<\omega} = \infty$ using the above-mentioned coarsely n^n -to-1 surjective map from X^n to $[X]^{\leq n}$. Moreover, in Sect. 5, we prove that if a metric space X contains a sequence of long intervals coarsely, then $[X]^{<\omega}$ is not coarsely embeddable into any uniformly convex Banach space, and hence $[X]^{<\omega}$ does not satisfy CE (Corollary 5.4). For example, every unbounded geodesic space contains a sequence of long intervals coarsely.

Note that every metric space containing a sequence of long intervals coarsely has positive asymptotic dimension. In Sect. 6, we show that the converse does not hold in general by giving an example of a locally finite metric space of positive asymptotic dimension which does not contain a sequence of long intervals coarsely.

2 Preliminaries

For a set A and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $|A|$, $[A]^{<\omega}$ and $[A]^{\leq n}$ denote the cardinal number of a set A , the set of all non-empty finite subsets of A , and the set $\{F \in [A]^{<\omega} : |F| \leq n\}$, respectively. By \mathbb{R} we denote the real line with the usual metric. Let $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ (resp. \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{N}) be the set of all non-negative real numbers (resp. integers, positive integers), respectively. Throughout this paper, every subset of a metric space is assumed to be its metric subspace.

Let X be a metric space. For the sake of simplicity, the metric on X will be denoted by d_X if it is not specified. Let $B(x, R)$ denote the open ball centred at $x \in X$ with radius $R \geq 0$. For every pair of subsets $Y, Z \subseteq X$ and every $R \geq 0$, denote

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(Y, Z) &= \inf\{d_X(y, z) : y \in Y, z \in Z\}, & \text{diam } Y &= \sup\{d_X(y, y') : y, y' \in Y\}, \\ N(Y, R) &= N_X(Y, R) = \{x \in X : \exists y \in Y (d_X(y, x) \leq R)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $R \geq 0$, a family \mathcal{U} of subsets of X is said to be R -disjoint if $\text{dist}(U, V) \geq R$ for every $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ with $U \neq V$. The metric space X

- is *locally finite* if $|B(x, R)|$ is finite for every $x \in X$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$;

- has *bounded geometry* if there exist $R \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $\delta: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $x \in X$ and $S \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, the ball $B(x, S)$ can be covered by at most $\delta(S)$ balls of radius R .

We assume that the set $[X]^{<\omega}$ is equipped with the *Hausdorff metric* $d^H: [X]^{<\omega} \times [X]^{<\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ defined as follows: for every $Y, Z \in [X]^{<\omega}$,

$$d^H(Y, Z) = \inf \{R \geq 0 : Y \subseteq N(Z, R), Z \subseteq N(Y, R)\}.$$

Note that the inclusion $i: X \rightarrow [X]^{<\omega}$, defined by letting $i(x) = \{x\}$, for every $x \in X$, is an isometric embedding. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we assume that $[X]^{\leq n}$ is the metric subspace of $[X]^{<\omega}$. Because of the previous observation, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $[X]^{\leq n}$ contains a copy of X .

For metric spaces X_1, \dots, X_n , the product set $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is assumed to have the maximum metric d defined by

$$d(x, y) = \max \{d_{X_i}(x_i, y_i) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

for $x = (x_i), y = (y_i) \in \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$.

A family of metric spaces is called a *metric family*. For a metric family \mathcal{X} , let

$$\text{mesh } \mathcal{X} = \sup \{\text{diam } X : X \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

Then \mathcal{X} is *uniformly bounded* if $\text{mesh } \mathcal{X} < \infty$.

By a map $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ between metric families \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , we mean a set of maps $f: X_f \rightarrow Y_f$ such that $\{X_f : f \in F\} = \mathcal{X}$ and $\{Y_f : f \in F\} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$. A restriction $G: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$ of a map $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ between metric families is itself a map between metric families such that, for every $g \in G$, there exists $f \in F$ satisfying $X'_g \subseteq X_f$, $Y'_g \subseteq Y_f$, and $g = f|_{X'_g}$. A map $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is said to be

- *bornologous* if there exists a non-decreasing function $\rho_+: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that for every $f \in F$ and for every $x, x' \in X_f$, we have

$$d_{Y_f}(f(x), f(x')) \leq \rho_+(d_{X_f}(x, x')),$$

- a *coarse embedding* if it is bornologous and there exists a non-decreasing function $\rho_-: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_-(t) = \infty$ and for every $f \in F$ and for every $x, x' \in X_f$, we have $\rho_-(d_{X_f}(x, x')) \leq d_{Y_f}(f(x), f(x'))$,
- *coarsely surjective* if there exists $S > 0$ such that for every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ there exists $f \in F$ satisfying $Y = Y_f = N(f(X_f), S)$,
- a *coarse equivalence* if it is a coarsely surjective coarse embedding, and
- *coarsely n-to-1* if it is bornologous and there exists a non-decreasing function $c: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that for every $f \in F$, for every $y \in Y_f$ and for every $R > 0$, there exist n points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_f$ such that $f^{-1}(B(y, R)) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, c(R))$.

The functions ρ_+, ρ_- and c above are called *control functions*. For metric spaces X and Y , a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *bornologous* (resp., a *coarse embedding*, *coarsely surjective*, a *coarse equivalence*, *coarsely n -to-1*) if so is the map $\{f\}: \{X\} \rightarrow \{Y\}$.

A metric family \mathcal{X} (resp., a metric space X) is said to be *coarsely embeddable* into a metric family \mathcal{Y} (resp., a metric space Y) if there exists a coarse embedding $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ (resp., $f: X \rightarrow Y$). A metric family \mathcal{X} is said to *refine* a metric family \mathcal{Y} (or $\mathcal{X} < \mathcal{Y}$) if for every $X \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $X \subseteq Y$. For a map $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z} < \mathcal{Y}$ let $F^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}) = \{f^{-1}(Z) : f \in F, Z \in \mathcal{Z}, Z \subseteq Y_f\}$.

Proposition 2.1 *For metric spaces X and Y and a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, define $\bar{f}: [X]^{<\omega} \rightarrow [Y]^{<\omega}$ by letting $\bar{f}(A) = \{f(a) : a \in A\}$ for $A \in [X]^{<\omega}$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *f is bornologous if and only if \bar{f} is;*
- (2) *f is a coarse embedding if and only if \bar{f} is;*
- (3) *f is coarsely surjective if and only if \bar{f} is;*
- (4) *f is a coarse equivalence if and only if \bar{f} is.*

Proof (1) To show the “only if” part, assume that f is bornologous and let ρ_+ be the control function. Define $\rho'_+ : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by $\rho'_+(t) = \inf \{\rho_+(s) : t < s\}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Then we have $d_Y^H(\bar{f}(A), \bar{f}(B)) \leq \rho'_+(d_X^H(A, B))$ for any $A, B \in [X]^{<\omega}$. The “if” part is obvious. Item (2) can be proved similarly. Item (3) is straightforward. Item (4) follows from (2) and (3). □

Example 2.2 For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $[\mathbb{R}^k]^{<\omega}$ is coarsely equivalent to $[\mathbb{Z}^k]^{<\omega}$, and $[\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}]^{<\omega}$ is coarsely equivalent to $[\mathbb{N}]^{<\omega}$. Moreover, for every $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $[\mathbb{R}^k]^{\leq n}$ is coarsely equivalent to $[\mathbb{Z}^k]^{\leq n}$, and $[\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}]^{\leq n}$ is coarsely equivalent to $[\mathbb{N}]^{\leq n}$.

Let us recall the definitions of properties cited in the introduction. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a metric space X is said to have *asymptotic dimension at most n* ($\text{asdim } X \leq n$) if, for every $R \geq 0$, there exist $n + 1$ uniformly bounded families $\mathcal{U}_0, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n$ of subsets of X such that $\bigcup_{i=0}^n \mathcal{U}_i$ covers X and \mathcal{U}_i is R -disjoint for every $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R > 0$, a metric family \mathcal{X} is said to be *(k, R) -decomposable* over a metric family \mathcal{Y} [19], denoted by $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{(k,R)} \mathcal{Y}$, if for every $X \in \mathcal{X}$ there exist $k + 1$ subfamilies $\mathcal{Y}_0^X, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_k^X \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\bigcup_{i=0}^k \mathcal{Y}_i^X$ covers X and \mathcal{Y}_i^X is R -disjoint for every $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$. Note that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a metric space X satisfies $\text{asdim } X \leq n$ if and only if, for every $R \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a uniformly bounded family \mathcal{Y} of subsets of X such that $\{X\} \xrightarrow{(n,R)} \mathcal{Y}$. For $(R_i) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{N}}$, a metric family \mathcal{X} is said to be *uniformly (R_i) -decomposable* over a metric family \mathcal{Y} [4], denoted by $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{(R_i)} \mathcal{Y}$, if there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $X \in \mathcal{X}$ there exist subfamilies $\mathcal{Y}_0^X, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_k^X \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\bigcup_{i=0}^k \mathcal{Y}_i^X$ covers X and \mathcal{Y}_i^X is R_i -disjoint for every $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$. Let \mathfrak{B} be the class of uniformly bounded metric families and set $\mathfrak{D}_0 = \text{w}\mathfrak{D}_0 = \mathfrak{C}_0 = \mathfrak{B}$. For an ordinal

$\alpha > 0$, define classes \mathfrak{D}_α , $w\mathfrak{D}_\alpha$ and \mathfrak{C}_α of metric families recursively by letting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_\alpha &= \{X : \forall R > 0 \exists \beta < \alpha \exists \mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{D}_\beta (X \xrightarrow{(1,R)} \mathcal{Y})\}, \\ w\mathfrak{D}_\alpha &= \{X : \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \forall R > 0 \exists \beta < \alpha \exists \mathcal{Y} \in w\mathfrak{D}_\beta (X \xrightarrow{(k,R)} \mathcal{Y})\}, \\ \mathfrak{C}_\alpha &= \{X : \forall (R_i) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{N}} \exists \beta < \alpha \exists \mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{C}_\beta (X \xrightarrow{(R_i)} \mathcal{Y})\}. \end{aligned}$$

For a set X , let $\ell_1(X)$ denote the Banach space of all functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the ℓ_1 -norm $\|f\|$ defined by $\sum_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ is finite. For $f \in \ell_1(X)$, the set $\text{supp } f$ is defined as $\{x \in X : f(x) > 0\}$. Let $\ell_1(X)_{1,+}$ denote the set of all functions $f \in \ell_1(X)$ such that $\|f\| = 1$ and $f(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in X$. Every $\mu \in \ell_1(X)_{1,+}$ is identified with a probability measure on X by letting $\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in A} \mu(x)$ for $A \subset X$. If X is countable, then $\ell_1(X)_{1,+}$ is the set of all Borel probability measures on X with the discrete topology.

Definition 2.3 A metric space X is said to have

- (1) *finite asymptotic dimension* (or FAD) if $\text{asdim } X \leq m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (2) *asymptotic property C* (or APC) if $\{X\} \in \mathfrak{C}_1$, that is, for any $(R_i) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{N}}$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and uniformly bounded families $\mathcal{U}_0, \dots, \mathcal{U}_k$ of X such that $\bigcup_{i=0}^k \mathcal{U}_i$ covers X and \mathcal{U}_i is R_i -disjoint for every $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$,
- (3) *finite decomposition complexity* (or FDC) if $\{X\} \in \mathfrak{D}_\alpha$ for some ordinal α ,
- (4) *weak finite decomposition complexity* (or wFDC) if $\{X\} \in w\mathfrak{D}_\alpha$ for some ordinal α ,
- (5) *APC-decomposition complexity* (or APCDC) if $\{X\} \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$ for some ordinal α ,
- (6) *straight finite decomposition complexity* (or sFDC) if for any $(R_i) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{N}}$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and families $\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_k$ of subsets of X such that $\{X\} \xrightarrow{(1,R_1)} \mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{(1,R_2)} \dots \xrightarrow{(1,R_k)} \mathcal{V}_k$ and \mathcal{V}_k is uniformly bounded,
- (7) *metric sparsification property* (or MSP) if there exist $c > 0$ and a function $s : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that for every $R \geq 0$ and for every $\mu \in \ell_1(X)_{1,+}$ there exists an R -disjoint family \mathcal{W} of subsets of X such that $\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{W}) \geq c$ and $\text{mesh } \mathcal{W} \leq s(R)$,
- (8) the *Higson–Roe condition for property A* (or A(HR)) if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for every $R > 0$, there exist $S > 0$ and a map $\xi : X \rightarrow \ell_1(X)$ such that $\|\xi(x)\| = 1$ and $\text{supp } \xi(x) \subseteq B(x, S)$ for every $x \in X$, and that $\|\xi(x) - \xi(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $x, y \in X$ with $d_X(x, y) \leq R$.

Remark 2.4 A(HR) and MSP are equivalent to the original definition of property A of Yu [34] for metric spaces of bounded geometry according to [20, Lemma 3.5] (see also [32, Proposition 3.2], [27, Theorem 4.2.1]), [7] and [30, Theorem 4.1].

Remark 2.5 Dydak and Virk in [16, Theorem 8.4] proved that a metric space X has sFDC if and only if it is of countable asymptotic dimension [15, Definition 7.1], that is, there exists $(n_i) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for any $(R_i) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{N}}$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and families $\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_k$ of subsets of X satisfying $\{X\} \xrightarrow{(n_1, R_1)} \mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{(n_2, R_2)} \dots \xrightarrow{(n_k, R_k)} \mathcal{V}_k$ where \mathcal{V}_k is uniformly bounded.

3 Preservation under products and coarsely n -to-1 maps

In this section, we show facts on preservation of some coarse infinite-dimensional properties (especially, wFDC and APCDC) under products and coarsely n -to-1 maps, which will be applied in Sect. 4.

Bell, Głodkowski and Nagórko in [4] proved the following.

Theorem 3.1 *Let α be an ordinal.*

- (1) ([4, Theorem 3.1]) *If $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$ and a metric family \mathcal{X} is coarsely embeddable into \mathcal{Y} , then $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$. In particular, if $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{X} \prec \mathcal{Y}$, then $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$.*
- (2) ([4, Fiberling Permanence]) *If $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$ and a metric family \mathcal{X} admits a bornologous map $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and an ordinal γ such that $F^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \in \mathfrak{C}_\gamma$ for every uniformly bounded $\mathcal{B} \prec \mathcal{Y}$, then $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\gamma+\alpha}$.*

By a similar argument as in Theorem 3.1, we also have the following.

Lemma 3.2 *Let α be an ordinal.*

- (1) *If $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{w}\mathfrak{D}_\alpha$ and a metric family \mathcal{X} is coarsely embeddable into \mathcal{Y} , then $\mathcal{X} \in \text{w}\mathfrak{D}_\alpha$. In particular, if $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{w}\mathfrak{D}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{X} \prec \mathcal{Y}$, then $\mathcal{X} \in \text{w}\mathfrak{D}_\alpha$.*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{w}\mathfrak{D}_\alpha$ and a metric family \mathcal{X} admits a bornologous map $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and an ordinal γ such that $F^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \in \text{w}\mathfrak{D}_\gamma$ for every uniformly bounded $\mathcal{B} \prec \mathcal{Y}$, then $\mathcal{X} \in \text{w}\mathfrak{D}_{\gamma+\alpha}$.*

The following lemma follows from [25, Lemma 3.6] and [16, Lemma 2.7].

Lemma 3.3 *Let X and Y be metric spaces, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a coarsely n -to-1 map with a control function $c: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, and $R > 0$. Let \mathcal{U} be a $2c(2nR+1)$ -disjoint family of subsets of X . Then there exist n families $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n$ of subsets of Y such that each \mathcal{V}_i is R -disjoint, $f(\bigcup \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_i$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_i \prec \{N_Y(f(U), 2nR) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$.*

Proof For the sake of convenience, we give a direct proof based on [25, Lemma 3.6] (see also [6, Lemma 3]) and [16, Lemma 2.7]. For $U \in \mathcal{U}$, define $g_U: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by letting $g_U(y) = \text{dist}(\{y\}, Y \setminus N(f(U), 2nR))$, $y \in Y$. For $\mathcal{A} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{<\omega}$, let

$$W_{\mathcal{A}} = \{y \in Y : \min \{g_A(y) : A \in \mathcal{A}\} > \sup \{g_U(y) : U \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{A}\}\},$$

$$V_{\mathcal{A}} = \{y \in f\left(\bigcup \mathcal{U}\right) : B(y, R) \subseteq W_{\mathcal{A}}\}.$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, set $\mathcal{V}_i = \{V_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{<\omega}, |\mathcal{A}| = i\}$. We show that \mathcal{V}_i , $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are the required families.

To show that each \mathcal{V}_i is R -disjoint, it suffices to show that the family $\{W_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{<\omega}, |\mathcal{A}| = i\}$ consists of pairwise disjoint subsets. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}' \in [\mathcal{U}]^{<\omega}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{A}'| = i$ and $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A}'$. Then we can take $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}'$ and $A' \in \mathcal{A}' \setminus \mathcal{A}$. If $y \in W_{\mathcal{A}}$, then we have $g_A(y) > g_{A'}(y)$, which implies $y \notin W_{\mathcal{A}'}$. Hence $W_{\mathcal{A}} \cap W_{\mathcal{A}'} = \emptyset$.

To show that $f(\bigcup \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_i$, let $y \in f(\bigcup \mathcal{U})$. Choose $U_y \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfying $y \in f(U_y)$. Then $g_{U_y}(y) \geq 2nR$. Let $\mathcal{A}_y = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : g_U(y) > 0\}$. Since $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a coarsely n -to-1 map with the control function $c: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, there exist n points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ satisfying $f^{-1}(B(y, 2nR + 1)) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, c(2nR + 1))$. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}_y$, we have $g_A(y) > 0$, which implies $y \in N(f(A), 2nR)$, and hence $f(A) \cap B(y, 2nR + 1) \neq \emptyset$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{A}_y| &\leq |\{U \in \mathcal{U} : f(U) \cap B(y, 2nR + 1) \neq \emptyset\}| \\
 &= |\{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap f^{-1}(B(y, 2nR + 1)) \neq \emptyset\}| \\
 &\leq \left| \left\{ U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, c(2nR + 1)) \neq \emptyset \right\} \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \left\{ U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap B(x_i, c(2nR + 1)) \neq \emptyset \right\} \right| \leq n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that \mathcal{U} is $2c(2nR + 1)$ -disjoint. Since $g_{U_y}(y) \geq 2nR$ and there are at most n elements of $\{g_A(y) : A \in \mathcal{U}\}$ between 0 and $g_{U_y}(y)$ in \mathbb{R} , there exists $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_y$ such that

$$\min \{g_A(y) : A \in \mathcal{A}_0\} - \sup \{g_U(y) : U \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{A}_0\} \geq 2R.$$

Then we have $B(y, R) \subseteq W_{\mathcal{A}_0}$, and hence $y \in V_{\mathcal{A}_0}$. This and $|\mathcal{A}_0| \leq |\mathcal{A}_y| \leq n$ imply that $y \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$, and hence $f(\bigcup \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$.

Finally, we show that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i < \{N_Y(f(U), 2nR) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Let $V \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$. Then $V = V_{\mathcal{A}}$ for some $\mathcal{A} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{\leq n}$. Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $A \in \mathcal{U}$, and for every $y \in W_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have $g_A(y) > 0$, and hence $y \in N(f(A), 2nR)$. Thus $V = V_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq W_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq N(f(A), 2nR)$. Therefore $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i < \{N_Y(f(U), 2nR) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. \square

Theorem 3.4 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let α be an ordinal.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are metric families with a coarsely n -to-1 coarsely surjective map $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{wD}_\alpha$, then $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{wD}_\alpha$.*
- (2) *If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are metric families with a coarsely n -to-1 coarsely surjective map $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$, then $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$.*

Proof (1) We prove it by transfinite induction on α . The conclusion for $\alpha = 0$ holds since, if \mathcal{X} is uniformly bounded and $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is bornologous and coarsely surjective, \mathcal{Y} is uniformly bounded.

Suppose that $\alpha > 0$ and (1) holds for every $\beta < \alpha$. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be metric families with a coarsely n -to-1 coarsely surjective map $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{wD}_\alpha$. Let ρ_+ and c be two control functions of F being bornologous and coarsely n -to-1, respectively. Since F is coarsely surjective, \mathcal{Y} is coarsely embeddable into the family $F(\mathcal{X}) = \{f(X_f) : f \in F\}$. Indeed, take $S > 0$ so that, for every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, there exists $f_Y \in F$ satisfying $Y = N_Y(f_Y(X_{f_Y}), S)$. Then, for every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, we can choose a function $g_Y : Y \rightarrow f_Y(X_{f_Y})$ such that $d(g_Y(y), y) \leq S$ for any $y \in Y$. Then $\{g_Y : Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$ is a coarse embedding from \mathcal{Y} into $F(\mathcal{X})$. Thus, by (1) of Lemma 3.2, it suffices to show that $F(\mathcal{X}) \in \mathfrak{wD}_\alpha$.

Since $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{wD}_\alpha$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\forall R > 0 \exists \beta < \alpha \exists \mathcal{X}' \in \mathfrak{wD}_\beta \left(\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{(k,R)} \mathcal{X}' \right).$$

Let $R > 0$. We want to find $\beta < \alpha$ and $\mathcal{Y}' \in \mathfrak{wD}_\beta$ satisfying $F(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{((k+1)n-1,R)} \mathcal{Y}'$. In order to do that, take $\beta < \alpha$ and $\mathcal{X}' \in \mathfrak{wD}_\beta$ with $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{(k,2c(2nR+1))} \mathcal{X}'$.

Let $f \in F$. Since $X_f \in \mathcal{X}$, there are $\mathcal{U}_0^f, \mathcal{U}_1^f, \dots, \mathcal{U}_k^f \subseteq \mathcal{X}'$ such that $X_f = \bigcup \bigcup_{i=0}^k \mathcal{U}_i^f$ and each \mathcal{U}_i^f is $c(2nR)$ -disjoint. Applying Lemma 3.3, for each $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$, choose families $\mathcal{V}_1^{f,i}, \mathcal{V}_2^{f,i}, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n^{f,i}$ of subsets of Y_f satisfying $f(\bigcup \mathcal{U}_i^f) = \bigcup \bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{V}_j^{f,i}$, each $\mathcal{V}_j^{f,i}$ is R -disjoint and $\bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{V}_j^{f,i} \prec \{N_{Y_f}(f(U), 2nR) : U \in \mathcal{U}_i^f\}$. For each $U \in \bigcup_{i=0}^k \mathcal{U}_i^f$, let f_U be the restriction map $f|_U : U \rightarrow N_{Y_f}(f(U), 2nR)$.

Set

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{f \in F} \bigcup_{i=0}^k \mathcal{U}_i^f, \quad \mathcal{Y}' = \bigcup_{f \in F} \bigcup_{i=0}^k \bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{V}_j^{f,i},$$

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ N_{Y_f}(f(U), 2nR) : f \in F, U \in \bigcup_{i=0}^k \mathcal{U}_i^f \right\} \text{ and } F' = \{f_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{Y}' \prec \mathcal{V}$. Since $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{X}' \in \mathbf{wD}_\beta$, we have $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{wD}_\beta$ by (1) of Lemma 3.2. It is easy to see that $F' : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is coarsely n -to-1 with the control functions ρ_+ and c and coarsely surjective with respect to the constant $2nR$. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we have $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{wD}_\beta$. This and $\mathcal{Y}' \prec \mathcal{V}$ imply $\mathcal{Y}' \in \mathbf{wD}_\beta$.

To show $F(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{((k+1)n-1, R)} \mathcal{Y}'$, let $f \in F$. Then the families $\mathcal{V}_j^{f,i} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}'$, $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, are all R -disjoint and

$$f(X_f) = f\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^k \bigcup \mathcal{U}_i^f\right) = \bigcup_{i=0}^k f\left(\bigcup \mathcal{U}_i^f\right) = \bigcup_{i=0}^k \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bigcup \mathcal{V}_j^{f,i}.$$

Therefore $F(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{((k+1)n-1, R)} \mathcal{Y}'$, and we have $F(\mathcal{X}) \in \mathbf{wD}_\alpha$.

We can also prove (2) by a similar argument. □

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4, the following result descends.

Corollary 3.5 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a coarsely n -to-1 coarsely surjective map between metric spaces. Then*

- (1) Y has wFDC whenever X has wFDC;
- (2) Y has APCDC whenever X has APCDC.

Using Lemma 3.2 we can also prove the following result concerning finite products.

Proposition 3.6 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (1) *If a metric space X has wFDC, then so does X^n .*
- (2) *If a metric space X has APCDC, then so does X^n .*

Proof (1) Assume that X has wFDC. We prove that X^n has wFDC by induction on n . The case $n = 1$ is trivial. Assume that $n > 1$ and X^{n-1} has wFDC. Then there exist ordinals α and β such that $\{X^{n-1}\} \in \mathbf{wD}_\alpha$ and $\{X\} \in \mathbf{wD}_\beta$. Let $p : X^n \rightarrow X$

be the n -th projection. To apply (2) of Lemma 3.2, let $F = \{p\}: \{X^n\} \rightarrow \{X\}$ and let \mathcal{B} be a uniformly bounded metric family with $\mathcal{B} \prec \{X\}$. Then $F^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) = \{X^{n-1} \times B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. For each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, let $g_B: X^{n-1} \times B \rightarrow X^{n-1}$ be the projection and let $G = \{g_B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Then $G: F^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \{X^n\}$ is a coarse embedding with the control function $\rho_-, \rho_+ : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ defined by $\rho_-(t) = \max\{t - \text{mesh } \mathcal{B}, 0\}$ and $\rho_+(t) = t$, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Thus, by (1) of Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $\{X^{n-1}\} \in \text{w}\mathcal{D}_\alpha$, we have $F^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \in \text{w}\mathcal{D}_\alpha$. Therefore, by (2) of Lemma 3.2, we have $\{X^n\} \in \text{w}\mathcal{D}_{\alpha+\beta}$, and hence X^n has wFDC.

We can also prove (2) by the same argument as above applying Theorem 3.1. \square

Concerning MSP, we have the following lemma on finite products which follows from [16, Theorem 7.9].

Lemma 3.7 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If a metric space X has MSP, then so does X^n .*

Proof We give a direct proof for the sake of convenience. Assume that X has MSP. Let c and $s: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a positive constant and a function for X being MSP, respectively. We claim that c^n and s are as required for X^n being MSP by induction on n . The case $n = 1$ is obvious. Suppose that the claim holds for $n - 1$. To show that c^n and s are as required for X^n , let $R \geq 0$ and $\mu \in \ell_1(X^n)_{1,+}$. Define $\mu_1 \in \ell_1(X^{n-1})_{1,+}$ by letting $\mu_1(x) = \mu(\{x\} \times X)$ for each $x \in X^{n-1}$. Then, by induction hypothesis, there exists an R -disjoint family \mathcal{U}_1 of X^{n-1} such that $\mu_1(\bigcup \mathcal{U}_1) \geq c^{n-1}$ and $\text{mesh } \mathcal{U}_1 \leq s(R)$. Define $\mu_2 \in \ell_1(X)_{1,+}$ by letting $\mu_2(x) = \mu(\bigcup \mathcal{U}_1 \times \{x\}) / \mu_1(\bigcup \mathcal{U}_1)$ for each $x \in X$. Since X has MSP, there exists an R -disjoint family \mathcal{U}_2 of X such that $\mu_2(\bigcup \mathcal{U}_2) \geq c$ and $\text{mesh } \mathcal{U}_2 \leq s(R)$. Let $\mathcal{W} = \{U_1 \times U_2 : U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2\}$. Then \mathcal{W} is an R -disjoint family of X^n such that $\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{W}) = \mu(\bigcup \mathcal{U}_1 \times \bigcup \mathcal{U}_2) = \mu_1(\bigcup \mathcal{U}_1) \mu_2(\bigcup \mathcal{U}_2) \geq c^n$ and $\text{mesh } \mathcal{W} \leq s(R)$. \square

4 Infinite-dimensionality of hyperspaces of subsets with at most n points

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the introduction we have already recalled that, if a metric space X satisfies FAD, then $[X]^{\leq n}$ satisfies FAD [28] and, if X satisfies sFDC, then so does $[X]^{\leq n}$ [14]. In particular, in the proof of the last result Dranishnikov and Zarichnyi proved the following.

Theorem 4.1 (see [14, Proposition 3.6]) *Let $f: X^n \rightarrow [X]^{\leq n}$ be the map defined by $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ for $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. Then f is coarsely n^n -to-1 and surjective.*

By the same argument as in the proof of [14, Proposition 3.6], we also have the following corollary as a consequence of theorems in [5], [10], [16], Theorem 4.1, and results proved in Sect. 3.

Corollary 4.2 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (1) *If a metric space X satisfies APC, then so does $[X]^{\leq n}$.*

- (2) If a metric space X satisfies MSP, then so does $[X]^{\leq n}$.
- (3) If a metric space X satisfies wFDC, then so does $[X]^{\leq n}$.
- (4) If a metric space X satisfies APCDC, then so does $[X]^{\leq n}$.
- (5) If a metric space X with bounded geometry satisfies property A, then so does $[X]^{\leq n}$.

Proof (1) Assume that a metric space X has APC. Then, by [5, Theorem 3.1] or [10, Theorem 3.1], so is X^n . This, [16, Theorem 6.2] and Theorem 4.1 imply that $[X]^{\leq n}$ has APC.

Item (2) follows from Lemma 3.7, Theorem 4.1 and [16, Theorem 7.9].

Items (3) and (4) can be shown, similarly to the previous items, applying Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 3.5.

Item (5) follows from (2) and the equivalence 9 in (†) in the introduction (see Remark 2.4) since, if X has bounded geometry, then so does $[X]^{\leq n}$. □

Question 4.3 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let X be a (not necessarily bounded geometry) metric space with A(HR). Does $[X]^{\leq n}$ have A(HR)?

Question 4.4 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let X be a metric space with FDC. Does $[X]^{\leq n}$ have FDC?

Question 4.5 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let X be a metric space being CE. Is $[X]^{\leq n}$ CE?

5 Infinite-dimensionality of hyperspaces of finite subsets

As already mentioned in the introduction, the following dichotomy descends from Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 5.1 *Let X be a metric space. Then:*

- (1) $\text{asdim } X = 0$ if and only if $\text{asdim } [X]^{<\omega} = 0$;
- (2) $\text{asdim } X > 0$ if and only if $\text{asdim } [X]^{<\omega} = \infty$.

Proof Item (1) is proved in [11, Proposition 2.3] and also implies the “if” implication in (2). Suppose now that $\text{asdim } X > 0$. According to [1, Theorem 1], $\text{asdim } X^n \geq n$. Let now $f : X^n \rightarrow [X]^{\leq n}$ be the coarsely n^n -to-1 surjective map defined in Theorem 4.1. Since f is also bornologous, [16, Theorem 6.1] implies that $n \leq \text{asdim } X^n \leq \text{asdim } [X]^{\leq n}$. Thus $\text{asdim } [X]^{<\omega} = \infty$ as, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $[X]^{\leq n}$ is a subspace of $[X]^{<\omega}$. □

For a sequence $\{X_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of metric spaces, a metric space X is said to *contain* $\{X_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ *coarsely* if the family $\{X_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is coarsely embeddable into $\{X\}$. Moreover, the space X is said to *contain a coarse disjoint union* of $\{X_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ if there exists a coarse embedding $\{i_k : X_k \rightarrow X : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\text{dist}(i_m(X_m), i_k(X_k)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $m, k \rightarrow \infty$. A sequence $\{I_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a *sequence of long intervals* if each I_k is a closed interval in \mathbb{R} and $\text{diam } I_k \rightarrow \infty$. In this section, we shall prove the following.

Theorem 5.2 *If a metric space X contains a sequence of long intervals coarsely, then $[X]^{<\omega}$ contains a coarse disjoint union of any sequence of finite metric spaces.*

Example 5.3 A metric space X is said to be *geodesic* if for every $x, y \in X$ there exists a isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, d_X(x, y)] \rightarrow X$ such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(d_X(x, y)) = y$. It is easy to see that every unbounded geodesic metric space contains a sequence of long intervals coarsely. Thus, if an unbounded geodesic metric space can be coarsely embedded into a metric space X , then X contains a sequence of long intervals coarsely. Every box space of a finitely generated residually finite infinite group (see [29, Definition 11.24] for definition) also contains a sequence of long intervals coarsely.

Recall that a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is *uniformly convex* if, for every $0 < \varepsilon \leq 2$ there exists $\delta > 0$ so that for any two vectors with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, the condition $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$ implies that $\|(x + y)/2\| \leq 1 - \delta$. Lafforgue [23] constructed a sequence $\{X_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of expander graphs such that no uniformly convex Banach space contains $\{X_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ coarsely. Since every Hilbert space is a uniformly convex Banach space, this and Theorem 5.2 yield the following.

Corollary 5.4 *Let X be a metric space containing a sequence of long intervals coarsely. Then $[X]^{<\omega}$ is not coarsely embeddable into any uniformly convex Banach space. In particular, $[X]^{<\omega}$ is not CE.*

To prove Theorem 5.2, we will apply the following lemmas:

Lemma 5.5 *Let X be a metric space containing a sequence $\{I_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of long intervals coarsely. Then X contains a coarse disjoint union of a sequence of long intervals.*

Proof Let us fix a coarse embedding $\{i_k : I_k \rightarrow X : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $\rho_- : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a non-decreasing function such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_-(t) = \infty$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $x, x' \in I_k$, we have $\rho_-(d_{I_k}(x, x')) \leq d_X(i_k(x), i_k(x'))$.

By induction on n , we find $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a subinterval $J_n \subseteq I_{k_n}$ satisfying $\text{diam } J_n = n$ and, for every $m < n$, we have $k_m < k_n$ and $\text{dist}(i_{k_m}(J_m), i_{k_n}(J_n)) \geq n$. Indeed, let $k_1 = 1$ and $J_1 = I_1$, and assume that $J_m \subseteq I_{k_m}$ has been defined for $m < n$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_-(t) = \infty$, there is $t_n \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $\text{diam } N(\bigcup_{m < n} i_{k_m}(J_m), n) < \rho_-(t_n)$. Since $\text{diam } I_k \rightarrow \infty$, we can take $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\text{diam } I_{k_n} > 3 \max\{n, t_n\}$. Then we have $\text{diam } i_{k_n}^{-1}(N(\bigcup_{m < n} i_{k_m}(J_m), n)) \leq t_n$. Thus there is a closed subinterval $J_n \subseteq I_{k_n}$ of diameter n such that $J_n \cap i_{k_n}^{-1}(N(\bigcup_{m < n} i_{k_m}(J_m), n)) = \emptyset$, and hence $i_{k_n}(J_n) \cap N(\bigcup_{m < n} i_{k_m}(J_m), n) = \emptyset$.

Then the sequence $\{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the coarse embedding $\{i_{k_n} \upharpoonright_{J_n} : J_n \rightarrow X : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are as required. □

Lemma 5.6 *For every $r > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $M > 0$ such that the product space $[-r, r]^m$ with the maximum metric can be isometrically embedded into $[[0, M]]^{<\omega}$.*

Proof For every $r > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M = 4mr + m + r$ and define $\phi : [-r, r]^m \rightarrow [[0, M]]^{<\omega}$ by

$$\phi(x) = \{j(4r + 1) + x_j : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}\}, \quad x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in [-r, r]^m.$$

For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in [-r, r]^m$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we have $|x_i - y_i| \leq 2r$ and $|i(4r + 1) + x_i - (j(4r + 1) + y_j)| \geq 4r + 1 - |x_i - y_j| \geq 2r + 1$ whenever $i \neq j$. Thus $d^H(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = \max\{|x_j - y_j| : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}\}$, which shows that ϕ is isometric. □

Lemma 5.7 *Every finite metric space X can be isometrically embedded into $[-\text{diam } X, \text{diam } X]^X$ with the maximum metric.*

Proof Fix $x_0 \in X$. Then the Kuratowski embedding [22] $\psi : X \rightarrow [-\text{diam } X, \text{diam } X]^X$ defined by $\psi(x) = (d_X(x, z) - d_X(x_0, z))_{z \in X, x \in X}$, shows the lemma. \square

By Lemmas 5.6 and 5.7, we have the following.

Corollary 5.8 *For every finite metric space X , there exists $M > 0$ such that X can be isometrically embedded into $[[0, M]]^{<\omega}$.*

Proof of Theorem 5.2 Let X be a metric space containing a sequence of long intervals coarsely and $\{X_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of finite metric spaces. By Lemma 5.5, X contains a coarse disjoint union of a sequence $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of long intervals coarsely. Take a coarse embedding $\{i_n : I_n \rightarrow X : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\text{dist}(i_m(I_m), i_n(I_n)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\bar{i}_n : [I_n]^{<\omega} \rightarrow [X]^{<\omega}$ be the map defined by $\bar{i}_n(A) = i_n(A)$ for $A \in [I_n]^{<\omega}$. By the same reason as in Proposition 2.1, we have that $\{\bar{i}_n : [I_n]^{<\omega} \rightarrow [X]^{<\omega} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a coarse embedding. By Corollary 5.8 and the fact that $\text{diam } I_n \rightarrow \infty$, we can take a strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{N} and isometric embeddings $\phi_k : X_k \rightarrow [I_{n_k}]^{<\omega}, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$\text{dist}((\bar{i}_{n_k} \circ \phi_k)(X_k), (\bar{i}_{n_m} \circ \phi_m)(X_m)) \geq \text{dist}(i_{n_k}(I_{n_k}), i_{n_m}(I_{n_m}))$$

for $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the coarse embedding $\{\bar{i}_{n_k} \circ \phi_k : X_k \rightarrow [X]^{<\omega} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is as required. \square

Note that, if a metric space X contains a sequence of long intervals coarsely, then $\text{asdim } X > 0$. In the next section, we show that the converse does not hold in general.

6 A metric space of positive asymptotic dimension which does not contain a sequence of long intervals coarsely

Let X be a metric space and $R \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. A finite sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^m$ of points in X is called an R -path of length m connecting x_0 and x_m if $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq R$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The space X is called R -connected if, for every $x, y \in X$, there exists an R -path of finite length connecting x and y . Note that, for a metric space X , $\text{asdim } X > 0$ if and only if there exists $R \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that, for every $S \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, there exists an R -connected subset A of X satisfying $\text{diam } A \geq S$.

In this section, we give an example of an unbounded 1-connected locally finite metric space which does not contain a sequence of long intervals coarsely. Before providing the example, let us recall a standard construction. A *weighted non-directed graph* is a triple $\Gamma_w = (V, E, w)$ where (V, E) is a non-directed graph and w is a *weight function*, i.e., a map $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. For the sake of simplicity, we write $w(x, y)$ instead of $w(\{x, y\})$, for every $\{x, y\} \in E$. If $\Gamma_w = (V, E, w)$ is a weighted graph and (V, E) is *connected* (i.e., for every pair of points there exists a path connecting

them), we can define the *weighted path metric* on V as follows: for every $x, y \in V$,

$$d_{\Gamma_w}(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m w(x_i, x_{i+1}) : \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \{x_{i-1}, x_i\} \in E, x_0 = x, x_m = y \right\}.$$

In the sequel, we identify the graph Γ_w with its vertex set V .

Example 6.1 We construct an unbounded 1-connected locally finite metric space Γ which does not contain a sequence of long intervals coarsely.

In order to define Γ , we first need to define some special subspaces $\Gamma^{(m)}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Fix then $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. For every $i \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$ and $\bar{k} \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}^i$, let $\Gamma_{\bar{k}}^{m,i} = \{x_{\bar{k},0}^{m,i}, \dots, x_{\bar{k},m}^{m,i}\}$ be the weighted complete graph of $m + 1$ vertices with the weight function $w_{\bar{k}}^{m,i}$ defined as follows: for every $0 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m$,

$$w_{\bar{k}}^{m,i}(x_{\bar{k},j_1}^{m,i}, x_{\bar{k},j_2}^{m,i}) = \begin{cases} m - i & \text{if } j_2 = j_1 + 1, \\ m - i + 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we let $\{0, \dots, m - 1\}^0 = \{\varepsilon\}$ with the empty word ε . Moreover, let

$$\Gamma^{(m)} = \left(\bigsqcup_{i=0}^{m-1} \bigsqcup_{\bar{k} \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}^i} \Gamma_{\bar{k}}^{m,i} \right) / \approx$$

with respect to the equivalence relation \approx defined as follows: for every $i \in \{0, \dots, m - 2\}$ and $(k_0, \dots, k_i) \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}^{i+1}$,

$$x_{k_0, \dots, k_i, 0}^{m,i+1} \approx x_{k_0, \dots, k_i}^{m,i}, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{k_0, \dots, k_i, m}^{m,i+1} \approx x_{k_0, \dots, k_i+1}^{m,i}.$$

Note that the equivalence \approx agrees with the weights of the edges since $m \geq 2$. Finally, define the non-directed graph $\Gamma = (\bigsqcup_{m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}} \Gamma^{(m)}) / \cong$, where \cong is the equivalence relation defined as follows: for every $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, $x_m^{m,0} \cong x_0^{m+1,0}$. By gluing together the weight functions of the single pieces, also the edges of the non-directed connected graph Γ can be endowed with a weight function, and so we can equip Γ with its weighted path metric. With that choice, Γ is a locally finite, unbounded metric space. Moreover, one can easily check that it is 1-connected; as an illustrative example, consider the following chain of elements connecting $x_0^{3,0}$ and $x_1^{3,0}$

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^{3,0} \approx x_{0,0}^{3,1} \approx x_{0,0,0}^{3,2} &\rightarrow x_{0,0,1}^{3,2} \rightarrow x_{0,0,2}^{3,2} \rightarrow x_{0,0,3}^{3,2} \approx x_{0,1}^{3,1} \approx x_{0,1,0}^{3,2} \rightarrow \\ &\dots \rightarrow x_{0,2,3}^{3,2} \approx x_{0,3}^{3,1} \approx x_1^{3,0} \end{aligned}$$

where \rightarrow indicates a jump of length 1. For the sake of simplicity, in the sequel we identify all $\Gamma^{(m)}$, $\Gamma_{\bar{k}}^{m,i}$ and $x_{\bar{k},j}^{m,i}$ with their images in Γ , respectively.

For $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, $i \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$ and $\bar{k} \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}^i$, set

$$U_{\bar{k}}^{m,i} = \{x_{\bar{l}}^{m,j} : i \leq j \leq m - 1, \bar{l} \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}^{j+1}, \bar{l}|_{\{0,1,\dots,i-1\}} = \bar{k}\} \cup \{x_{\bar{k},m}^{m,i}\},$$

where $\bar{k} \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}^i$ is also considered as the map $\bar{k}: \{0, 1, \dots, i - 1\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$. Then $U_{\bar{k}}^{m,i}$ coincides with the set

$$\bigcup \{\Gamma_{\bar{l}}^{m,j} : i \leq j \leq m - 1, \bar{l} \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}^j, \bar{l}|_{\{0,\dots,i\}} = \bar{k}\}$$

in Γ , and

$$\text{diam } U_{\bar{k}}^{m,i} < 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-i+1} j < (m - i + 2)^2. \tag{6.1}$$

We also observe that, if $\bar{k}, \bar{k}' \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}^i$ and $U_{\bar{k}}^{m,i} \cap U_{\bar{k}'}^{m,i} = \emptyset$, then $\text{dist}(U_{\bar{k}}^{m,i}, U_{\bar{k}'}^{m,i}) \geq m + 1 - i$. Note that $U_{\varepsilon}^{m,0}$ coincides with $\Gamma^{(m)}$ and $U_{\bar{k}}^{m,i-1} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m-1} U_{\bar{k},j}^{m,i}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$ and $\bar{k} \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}^{i-1}$.

We want to prove that $\text{asdim } \Gamma = 1$. Since Γ is 1-connected and unbounded, $\text{asdim } \Gamma > 0$. In order to show that $\text{asdim } \Gamma \leq 1$, fix an arbitrary $R \in \mathbb{N}$. We are using a different but equivalent definition of asymptotic dimension, namely, we want to construct a uniformly bounded cover \mathcal{U} of Γ such that, for every $x \in \Gamma$, $|\{U \in \mathcal{U} : B(x, R) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}| \leq 2$ (see, for example, [3, Theorem 2.1.2]). Let $U_0 = \bigcup_{i=2}^{2R} \Gamma^{(i)}$. Then the cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_0\} \cup \{U_{\bar{k}}^{m,m-2R} : m > 2R, \bar{k} \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}^{m-2R}\}$$

satisfies the desired properties. In fact, $|\{U \in \mathcal{U} : B(x, R) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}| \leq 2$ for every $x \in \Gamma$, and, by (6.1), \mathcal{U} is uniformly bounded.

Finally, we want to show that Γ does not contain a sequence $\{I_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of long intervals coarsely. Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists a coarse embedding $\{f_m: I_m \rightarrow \Gamma : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with control functions ρ_- and ρ_+ . Since Γ is unbounded and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_-(t) = \infty$, there exists $R \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S = \lfloor \rho_+(R) \rfloor \geq 1$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k > S$ and $\rho_-(kR) \geq 4(S + 2)^2$. Since $\text{diam } I_m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_-(t) = \infty$, there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\text{diam}(\bigcup_{j=2}^{k+2} \Gamma^{(j)}) + S + \rho_+(kR) < \rho_-(\text{diam } I_l) \leq \text{diam}(f_l(I_l))$. Then we can take $x, y \in I_l$ satisfying $\rho_+(kR) < d_\Gamma(f_l(x), f_l(y))$ and $f_l([x, y]) \cap \bigcup_{j=2}^{k+2} \Gamma^{(j)} = \emptyset$. Note that $|x - y| > kR$ since $\rho_+(kR) < d_\Gamma(f_l(x), f_l(y)) \leq \rho_+(|x - y|)$. Thus $f_l(\{x, x + R, \dots, x + kR\}) \subset \Gamma \setminus \bigcup_{j=2}^{k+2} \Gamma^{(j)} \subset \bigcup_{m>k+2} \Gamma^{(m)} = \bigcup_{m>k+2} \bigcup_{\bar{k} \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}^{m-S}} U_{\bar{k}}^{m,m-S}$. Since $\{f_l(x + jR)\}_{j=0}^k$ is an S -path connecting $f_l(x)$ and $f_l(x + kR)$ in $\Gamma \setminus \bigcup_{j=2}^{k+2} \Gamma^{(j)}$ and $d_\Gamma(f(x), f(x + kR)) \geq \rho_-(kR) > 4(S + 2)^2 > 4 \text{diam } U_{\bar{k}}^{m,m-S}$ for every $m > k + 2$ and $\bar{k} \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}^{m-S}$, there exist $n > k + 2, \bar{l} \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}^{n-S-1}$

and $j \in \{1, \dots, m - 2\}$ such that

$$f_l(\{x, \dots, x + kR\}) \cap U_{\bar{l}, j-1}^{n, n-S} \neq \emptyset \neq f_l(\{x, \dots, x + kR\}) \cap U_{\bar{l}, j+1}^{n, n-S}.$$

Thus, in particular, $f_l(\{x, \dots, x + kR\})$ entirely crosses $U_{\bar{k}, j}^{n, n-S}$, and an S -path doing that needs at least $n + 1 - 2 = n - 1$ points; more precisely, $|f_l(\{x, \dots, x + kR\}) \cap U_{\bar{k}, j}^{n, n-S}| \geq n - 1$. However, this leads to a contradiction since $|f_l(\{x, \dots, x + kR\})| \leq k + 1 < n - 1$.

Concerning Theorem 5.2, we may ask the following question.

Question 6.2 Is it true that, if a metric space X satisfies $\text{asdim } X > 0$, then the space $[X]^{<\omega}$ contains a coarse disjoint union of any sequence of finite metric space coarsely?

In particular, we do not know whether the following specialisation of Question 6.2 holds.

Question 6.3 For the metric space Γ in Example 6.1, does $[\Gamma]^{<\omega}$ contain a coarse disjoint union of any sequence of finite metric space coarsely?

Question 6.4 Let X be a metric space with bounded geometry satisfying $\text{asdim } X > 0$. Does X contain a sequence of long intervals coarsely?

Appendix: Straight finite decomposition complexity implies metric sparsification property

According to [14] and [7], every bounded geometry metric space with sFDC satisfies MSP. In this appendix, we show that the assumption of bounded geometry can be dropped in this fact.

Theorem 6.5 *Let X be a metric space with sFDC. Then X satisfies MSP.*

Proof Let $R > 0$. Since X has sFDC, there exist families $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n$ of subsets of X such that

$$\{X\} \xrightarrow{2^4 R} \mathcal{X}_1 \xrightarrow{2^5 R} \dots \xrightarrow{2^{n+3} R} \mathcal{X}_n$$

and \mathcal{X}_n is uniformly bounded. Let

$$S = \text{mesh } \mathcal{X}_n + 2^{n+3} R \tag{*}$$

and fix an arbitrary $\mu \in \ell_1(X)_{1,+}$. Set $X_0^0 = X$, $A_0 = \{0\}$ and $X_0^\alpha = \{X_0^\alpha : \alpha \in A_0\} = \{X\}$. Obviously, X_0^0 is R -disjoint.

Claim 1 For every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exist $\mathcal{X}_i^0 = \{X_\alpha^0 : \alpha \in A_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_i$ and $\pi_i : A_i \rightarrow A_{i-1}$ such that for every $\alpha \in A_{i-1}$,

- (1) $X_\alpha^0 = \bigcup_{\beta \in \pi_i^{-1}(\alpha)} X_\beta^0$, and
- (2) there are $B_\alpha^0, B_\alpha^1 \subseteq A_i$ such that $\pi_i^{-1}(\alpha) = B_\alpha^0 \cup B_\alpha^1$, $B_\alpha^0 \cap B_\alpha^1 = \emptyset$ and $\{X_\beta^0 : \beta \in B_\alpha^l\}$ is $2^{i+3}R$ -disjoint for each $l \in \{0, 1\}$.

Proof of Claim 1 We construct \mathcal{X}_i^0 and π_i by induction on i . Assume that $\mathcal{X}_{i-1}^0 = \{X_\alpha^0 : \alpha \in A_{i-1}\}$ has been obtained. Let $\alpha \in A_{i-1}$. Since $X_\alpha^0 \in \mathcal{X}_{i-1} \xrightarrow{2^{i+3}R} \mathcal{X}_i$, there exist $X_\alpha^0, X_\alpha^1 \subseteq \mathcal{X}_i$ such that $X_\alpha^0 = \bigcup (X_\alpha^0 \cup X_\alpha^1)$ and X_α^l is $2^{i+3}R$ -disjoint for each $l \in \{0, 1\}$. We may assume $X_\alpha^0 \cap X_\alpha^1 = \emptyset$ by replacing X_α^1 with $X_\alpha^1 \setminus X_\alpha^0$.

Let $A_i = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A_{i-1}} \{\alpha\} \times (X_\alpha^0 \cup X_\alpha^1)$ and define $\pi_i : A_i \rightarrow A_{i-1}$ by letting $\pi_i((\alpha, X')) = \alpha$ for $(\alpha, X') \in A_i$. Set $X_\beta^0 = X'$ for each $\beta = (\alpha, X') \in A_i$, $\mathcal{X}_i^0 = \{X_\beta^0 : \beta \in A_i\}$ and $B_\alpha^l = \{(\alpha, X') \in A_i : X' \in X_\alpha^l\}$ for each $\alpha \in A_{i-1}$ and $l \in \{0, 1\}$. Then \mathcal{X}_i^0 and π_i satisfy (1) and (2). □

For each $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with $i < j$, let

$$\pi_i^j = \pi_{i+1} \circ \dots \circ \pi_j : A_j \rightarrow A_i$$

and let $\pi_i^i : A_i \rightarrow A_i$ be the identity map.

Claim 2 For every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $i \in \{k, k + 1, \dots, n\}$, there exist a family $\mathcal{X}_i^k = \{X_\alpha^k : \alpha \in A_i\}$ of subsets and a subset Z_k in X such that

- (1) $X_\alpha^k = \bigcup_{\beta \in \pi_i^{-1}(\alpha)} X_\beta^k$ for every $\alpha \in A_{i-1}$ if $i > k$,
- (2) $\{X_\beta^k : \beta \in B_\alpha^l\}$ is $(2^{i+3} - \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{j+2})R$ -disjoint for every $\alpha \in A_{i-1}$ and $l \in \{0, 1\}$,
- (3) $\text{mesh } \mathcal{X}_n^k \leq \text{mesh } \mathcal{X}_n + \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{j+2}R$,
- (4) \mathcal{X}_k^k is R -disjoint,
- (5) $\bigcup \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^{k-1} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{X}_k^k \cup Z_k$, and
- (6) $\mu(Z_k) \leq 2^{-k-1}$.

Proof of Claim 2 We construct $\mathcal{X}_k^k, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n^k$ by induction on k . The families $\mathcal{X}_0^0, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n^0$ taken above satisfy (1)–(4). Assume that families $\mathcal{X}_{k-1}^{k-1}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n^{k-1}$ satisfying (1)–(4) have been defined. For $j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^{k+1}\}$, let

$$W_\lambda^j = \left(N \left(\bigcup_{\gamma \in B_\lambda^0} X_\gamma^{j-1}, jR \right) \setminus N \left(\bigcup_{\gamma \in B_\lambda^0} X_\gamma^{j-1}, (j-1)R \right) \right) \cap X_\lambda^{j-1}, \quad \lambda \in A_{k-1},$$

$$W_j = \bigcup_{\lambda \in A_{k-1}} W_\lambda^j.$$

Note that the family $\{W_\lambda^j : \lambda \in A_{k-1}\}$ is R -disjoint since so is \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^{k-1} , and the family $\{W_j : j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^{k+1}\}\}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint subsets of X . Since

$\sum_{j=1}^{2^{k+1}} \mu(W_j) = \mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{k+1}} W_j) \leq \mu(X) = 1$, there exists $j_k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^{k+1}\}$ such that $\mu(W_{j_k}) \leq 2^{-k-1}$. For $i \in \{k, k + 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\beta \in A_i$, let $\lambda = \pi_{k-1}^i(\beta)$ and

$$X_\beta^k = \begin{cases} N(X_\beta^{k-1}, (j_k - 1)R) \cap X_\lambda^{k-1} & \text{if } \pi_k^i(\beta) \in B_\lambda^0, \\ X_\beta^{k-1} \setminus N(\bigcup_{\gamma \in B_\lambda^0} X_\gamma^{k-1}, j_k R) & \text{if } \pi_k^i(\beta) \in B_\lambda^1. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$X_\beta^k \subseteq N(X_\beta^{k-1}, (j_k - 1)R) \subseteq N(X_\beta^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}R). \tag{**}$$

Set $\mathcal{X}_i^k = \{X_\beta^k : \beta \in A_i\}$ for $i \in \{k, k + 1, \dots, n\}$ and $Z_k = W_{j_k}$. Then Z_k satisfies (6).

To show (1), suppose that $i > k$ and $\alpha \in A_{i-1}$. Let $\lambda = \pi_{k-1}^{i-1}(\alpha)$. Since $\pi_k^{i-1}(\alpha) \in \pi_k^{-1}(\lambda) = B_\lambda^0 \cup B_\lambda^1$, either $\pi_k^{i-1}(\alpha) \in B_\lambda^0$ or $\pi_k^{i-1}(\alpha) \in B_\lambda^1$. If $\pi_k^{i-1}(\alpha) \in B_\lambda^0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} X_\alpha^k &= N(X_\alpha^{k-1}, (j_k - 1)R) \cap X_\lambda^{k-1} = N\left(\bigcup_{\beta \in \pi_i^{-1}(\alpha)} X_\beta^{k-1}, (j_k - 1)R\right) \cap X_\lambda^{k-1} \\ &= \bigcup_{\beta \in \pi_i^{-1}(\alpha)} N(X_\beta^{k-1}, (j_k - 1)R) \cap X_\lambda^{k-1} = \bigcup_{\beta \in \pi_i^{-1}(\alpha)} X_\beta^k. \end{aligned}$$

If $\pi_k^{i-1}(\alpha) \in B_\lambda^1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} X_\alpha^k &= X_\alpha^{k-1} \setminus N\left(\bigcup_{\gamma \in B_\lambda^0} X_\gamma^{k-1}, j_k R\right) = \bigcup_{\beta \in \pi_i^{-1}(\alpha)} X_\beta^{k-1} \setminus N\left(\bigcup_{\gamma \in B_\lambda^0} X_\gamma^{k-1}, j_k R\right) \\ &= \bigcup_{\beta \in \pi_i^{-1}(\alpha)} X_\beta^k. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha \in A_{i-1}$, $l \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\beta, \beta' \in B_\alpha^l$ with $\beta \neq \beta'$, because of (**), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(X_\beta^k, X_{\beta'}^k) &\geq \text{dist}(N(X_\beta^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}R), N(X_{\beta'}^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}R)) \\ &\geq \left(2^{i+3} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} 2^{j+2}\right)R - 2 \cdot 2^{k+1}R = \left(2^{i+3} - \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{j+2}\right)R, \end{aligned}$$

which shows (2). For $\alpha \in A_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam } X_\alpha^k &\leq \text{diam } N(X_\alpha^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}R) \leq \text{mesh } \mathcal{X}_n + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} 2^{j+2}R + 2 \cdot 2^{k+1}R \\ &\leq \text{mesh } \mathcal{X}_n + \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{j+2}R, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3).

To show (4), let $\beta, \beta' \in A_k$ with $\beta \neq \beta'$. If $\pi_k(\beta) \neq \pi_k(\beta')$, then

$$\text{dist}(X_\beta^k, X_{\beta'}^k) \geq \text{dist}(X_{\pi_k(\beta)}^{k-1}, X_{\pi_k(\beta')}^{k-1}) \geq R.$$

If $\pi_k(\beta) = \pi_k(\beta')$, $\beta \in B_\alpha^0$ and $\beta' \in B_\alpha^1$ for $\alpha = \pi_k(\beta)$, then $\text{dist}(X_\beta^k, X_{\beta'}^k) \geq R$ since $X_\beta^k \subseteq N(X_\beta^{k-1}, (j_k - 1)R)$ and $X_{\beta'}^k \cap N(\bigcup_{\gamma \in B_\alpha^0} X_\gamma^{k-1}, j_k R) = \emptyset$. If $\pi_k(\beta) = \pi_k(\beta')$ and $\beta, \beta' \in B_\alpha^l$ for $\alpha = \pi_k(\beta)$ and $l \in \{0, 1\}$, then $\text{dist}(X_\beta^k, X_{\beta'}^k) \geq R$ since $\{X_\gamma^k : \gamma \in B_\alpha^l\}$ is $(2^{k+3} - \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{i+2})R$ -disjoint by (2) and $(2^{k+3} - \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{i+2})R = 2^3R > R$.

To show (5), let $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^{k-1}$ and assume $x \notin Z_k$. Since $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^{k-1}$, there is $\lambda \in A_{k-1}$ such that $x \in X_\lambda^{k-1} = \bigcup_{\beta \in \pi_k^{-1}(\lambda)} X_\beta^{k-1}$. Choose $\beta \in \pi_k^{-1}(\lambda)$ satisfying $x \in X_\beta^{k-1}$. Since $x \notin Z_k$, we have $x \notin W_\lambda^{j_k}$. This and $x \in X_\beta^{k-1}$ imply either $x \notin N(\bigcup_{\gamma \in B_\lambda^0} X_\gamma^{k-1}, j_k R)$ or $x \in N(\bigcup_{\gamma \in B_\lambda^0} X_\gamma^{k-1}, (j_k - 1)R)$. If $x \notin N(\bigcup_{\gamma \in B_\lambda^0} X_\gamma^{k-1}, j_k R)$, then $\beta \in B_\lambda^1$ and $x \in X_\beta^{k-1} \setminus N(\bigcup_{\gamma \in B_\lambda^0} X_\gamma^{k-1}, j_k R) = X_\beta^k \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{X}_k^k$. Suppose $x \in N(\bigcup_{\gamma \in B_\lambda^0} X_\gamma^{k-1}, (j_k - 1)R)$. Then there exists $\gamma \in B_\lambda^0$ such that $x \in N(X_\gamma^{k-1}, (j_k - 1)R)$. This and $x \in X_\beta^{k-1}$ imply $x \in X_\gamma^k \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{X}_k^k$.

Thus the families $\mathcal{X}_k^k, \mathcal{X}_{k+1}^k, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n^k$ satisfy (1)–(6). □

Since \mathcal{X}_n^n satisfies (3) and (4) in Claim 2, $\text{mesh } \mathcal{X}_n^n \leq S$ (see (*) for the definition of S), and the family \mathcal{X}_n^n is R -disjoint. By (5), we have $X = \bigcup \mathcal{X}_0^0 = \bigcup \mathcal{X}_n^n \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n Z_k$. This and (6) imply $1 = \mu(X) \leq \mu(\bigcup \mathcal{X}_n^n) + \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(Z_k) < \mu(\bigcup \mathcal{X}_n^n) + 2^{-1}$, and hence $\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{X}_n^n) > 2^{-1}$. Therefore X satisfies MSP. □

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the referees for their careful reading and the comments that improved our work. The third named author would like to thank the Division of Mathematics, Physics and Earth Sciences of the Graduate School of Science and Engineering of Ehime University and the second named author for hosting his visit in June 2018.

Funding Open access funding provided by Institute of Science and Technology (IST Austria).

Data Availability Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included

in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Banach, I., Banach, T.: On the asymptotic dimension of products of coarse spaces (2020). [arXiv:2001.04300](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.04300) (to appear in *Topology Appl.*)
- Banach, T., Brydun, V., Karchevska, L., Zarichnyi, M.: The ℓ_p -metrization of functors with finite supports (2020). [arXiv:2004.02017](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.02017)
- Bell, G., Dranishnikov, A.: Asymptotic dimension in $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{d}\mathbb{L}\mathbb{e}\mathbb{w}\mathbb{o}$. *Topology Proc.* 38, 209–236 (2011)
- Bell, G., Głodkowski, D., Nagórko, A.: Decomposition theorems for asymptotic property C and property A. *Topology Appl.* 256, 248–261 (2019)
- Bell, G.C., Moran, D.S.: On constructions preserving the asymptotic topology of metric spaces. *North Carolina J. Math. Stat.* 1, 46–57 (2015)
- Brodskiy, N., Dydak, J.: Coarse dimensions and partitions of unity. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* 102(1), 1–19 (2008)
- Brodzki, J., Niblo, G.A., Špakula, J., Willett, R., Wright, N.: Uniform local amenability. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 7(2), 583–603 (2013)
- Chen, X., Tessera, R., Wang, X., Yu, G.: Metric sparsification and operator norm localization. *Adv. Math.* 218(5), 1496–1511 (2008)
- Dadarlat, M., Guentner, E.: Uniform embeddability of relatively hyperbolic groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 612, 1–15 (2007)
- Davila, T.: On asymptotic property C (2016). [arXiv:1611.05988v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.05988v1)
- Dikranjan, D., Protasov, I., Zava, N.: Hyperballeans of groups. *Topol. Appl.* 263, 172–198 (2019)
- Dranishnikov, A.N.: Asymptotic topology. *Russian Math. Surveys* 55(6), 1085–1129 (2000)
- Dranishnikov, A., Zarichnyi, M.: Asymptotic dimension, decomposition complexity, and Haver's property C. *Topology Appl.* 169, 99–107 (2014)
- Dranishnikov, A., Zarichnyi, M.: Remarks on straight finite decomposition complexity. *Topology Appl.* 227, 102–110 (2017)
- Dydak, J.: Coarse amenability and discreteness. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 100(1), 65–77 (2016)
- Dydak, J., Virk, Ž.: Preserving coarse properties. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 29(1), 191–206 (2016)
- Gromov, M.: Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. In: Niblo, G.A., Roller, M.A. (eds.) *Geometric Group Theory, Vol. 2* (Sussex, 1991). London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 182, pp. 1–295. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993)
- Guentner, E., Tessera, R., Yu, G.: A notion of geometric complexity and its application to topological rigidity. *Invent. Math.* 189(2), 315–357 (2012)
- Guentner, E., Tessera, R., Yu, G.: Discrete groups with finite decomposition complexity. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 7(2), 377–402 (2013)
- Higson, N., Roe, J.: Amenable group actions and the Novikov conjecture. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 519, 143–153 (2000)
- Kucab, J., Zarichnyi, M.: On asymptotic power dimension. *Topology Appl.* 201, 124–130 (2016)
- Kuratowski, C.: Quelques problèmes concernant les espaces métriques non-séparables. *Fund. Math.* 25, 534–545 (1935)
- Lafforgue, V.: Un renforcement de la propriété (T). *Duke Math. J.* 143(3), 559–602 (2008)
- Mendel, M., Naor, A.: Nonlinear spectral calculus and super-expanders. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 119, 1–95 (2014)
- Miyata, T., Virk, Ž.: Dimension-raising maps in a large scale. *Fund. Math.* 223(1), 83–97 (2013)
- Nicas, A., Rosenthal, D., et al.: Hyperbolic dimension and decomposition complexity. In: Broaddus, N. (ed.) *Topological Methods in Group Theory*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 451, pp. 146–167. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2018)
- Nowak, P.W., Yu, G.: *Large Scale Geometry*. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society, Zürich (2012)

28. Radul, T.M., Shukel', O.: Functors of finite degree and asymptotic dimension. *Mat. Stud.* **31**(2), 204–206 (2009)
29. Roe, J.: *Lectures on Coarse Geometry*. University Lecture Series, vol. 31. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2003)
30. Sako, H.: Property A and the operator norm localization property for discrete metric spaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **690**, 207–216 (2014)
31. Shukel', O.: Functors of finite degree and asymptotic dimension zero. *Mat. Stud.* **29**(1), 101–107 (2008)
32. Tu, J.-L.: Remarks on Yu's "property A" for discrete metric spaces and groups. *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* **129**(1), 115–139 (2001)
33. Yu, G.: The Novikov Conjecture for groups with finite asymptotic dimension. *Ann. Math.* **147**(2), 325–355 (1998)
34. Yu, G.: The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space. *Invent. Math.* **139**(1), 201–240 (2000)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.