

Unified treatment of contact, friction and shock-propagation in rigid body animation

Yi-Lu Chen
ISTA
Klosterneuburg, Austria
yi-lu.chen@ista.ac.at

Mickaël Ly
ISTA
Klosterneuburg, Austria
mickael.ly@ista.ac.at

Chris Wojtan
ISTA
Klosterneuburg, Austria
chris.wojtan@ista.ac.at



Figure 1: We model non-smooth friction (bunnies, left) and shock propagation (wall impact, right) in a single method.

ABSTRACT

We present a rigid body animation technique which prevents solids from interpenetrating, dissipates energy through friction, and propagates shocks through contacts. We employ the Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM) to couple non-smooth Coulomb friction with impact propagation, allowing efficient and accurate non-smooth dynamics along with a correct transmission of impacts through assemblies of rigid bodies. We further extend our method to model adhesion, dynamic friction and lubricated contact.

KEYWORDS

rigid body mechanics, non-smooth dynamics, friction, adhesion

ACM Reference Format:

Yi-Lu Chen, Mickaël Ly, and Chris Wojtan. 2023. Unified treatment of contact, friction and shock-propagation in rigid body animation. In *The ACM SIGGRAPH / Eurographics Symposium on Computer Animation (SCA '23)*, August 4–6, 2023, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3606037.3606836>

1 RELATED WORK

Although the literature on rigid body contact and friction is rich and diverse, no single method simulates non-smooth static friction while preserving symmetries and propagating impacts [Smith et al. 2012]. For example, Gauss–Seidel-like solvers, which iterate over all contacts [Erleben 2017; Müller et al. 2020] Traditional non-smooth optimization based techniques [Acary et al. 2011; Nguyen

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).
SCA '23, August 4–6, 2023, Los Angeles, CA, USA
© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).
ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0268-6/23/08.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3606037.3606836>

and Brogliato 2018; Silcowitz et al. 2009] may be modified to include shock propagation using impact laws, but they are often expensive. Methods based on implicit integration of penalty methods [Ferguson et al. 2021; Lan et al. 2022; Macklin et al. 2020] are more efficient but require a smoothed *approximation* of Coulomb friction.

Our method (1) retains the exact non-smooth friction, (2) includes shock propagation, and (3) models extended contact laws like adhesion, for enhancing animations of rigid bodies assemblies.

2 OUR METHOD

ALGORITHM 1: Our solver for friction and shock propagation.

Detect and merge contacts (Sec. 2.3);

for $k \leftarrow 1, 2, \dots, N_{max}^{GS}$ **do**

 Solve the normal forces for \mathbf{r}_N (Sec. 2.1, 2.2);

 Break if the error $\mathbf{f}_T^{AC}(\mathbf{u}_N; \mathbf{r}_N) \leq \epsilon_{AC}$ (Sec 2.3);

 Solve the **static** friction for $\mathbf{r}_{T|S}$ (Sec. 2.1);

 (Optional) Solve the dynamic friction part for $\mathbf{r}_{T|D}$ (Sec. 2.4);

2.1 Dynamic equation with frictional contact

Following Moreau [1988], adding contact forces \mathbf{r} of the Signorini–Coulomb frictional contact law to a linear dynamic equation $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f}$ (explicit Euler in our case) yields a system of the form

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{M}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f} + \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{v}, \quad (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{r}) \in C_\mu \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Similarly to Tasora et al. [2021], we use an *ADMM solver with Nesterov acceleration* to solve the constrained dynamics up to a tolerance $\epsilon_A = 10^{-4}$. However, we split the system according to the normal and tangential components of the contacts, yielding two systems to be solved alternatively until convergence [Panagiotopoulos 1975]. Doing so allows shock propagation to be introduced when solving for the normal components.

2.2 Incorporating shock propagation

Following Smith et al. [2012], we solve only for approaching contacts. We also use their strategy to handle *inelastic collapse*. We limit the number of shock propagation iterations by allowing each contact to appear at most $N_{max}^{SP} = 50$ times and solve one global system gathering all the contacts at the end.

2.3 Additional implementation details

We merge the contacts of each contiguous contact area with K -means clustering ($K = 3$). This technique increases the performance of the resolution at the cost of a simplified contact surface. For the termination, we end Alg. 1 in $N_{max}^{GS} = 10$ iterations, or stop early via a physical-based error metric with Alart and Curnier [1991]’s function $f^{AC}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{r}) \leq \epsilon_{AC} (= 10^{-2})$. We use only the tangential part of f^{AC} , as the normal component is invalid due to shock propagation.

2.4 Contact law extension

We add adhesion by simply shifting the constraint on \mathbf{r}_N to allow negative values. To incorporate velocity-dependent friction, such as *dynamic* friction or lubricated contacts, we compute a correction term $\mathbf{r}_{T|D} = m_D(\gamma \|\mathbf{u}_T(\mathbf{r}_{T|S}, \mathbf{r}_{T|D})\|)$ using backward Euler. The function m_D models the *smoothed* discrepancy w.r.t. the static regime $\mathbf{r}_{T|D} \approx \mathbf{r}_T - \mathbf{r}_{T|S}$ and γ a user-specified falloff parameter.

3 RESULTS

We implemented our algorithm in C++ using *OpenMP* for simple loop parallelization. All examples, depicted in the accompanying video, are run on a desktop computer with an AMD Ryzen 7 5800X 8-core processor and 64 GB of RAM.

Our method robustly handles contacts, even in the presence of large mass ratio between the elements, or a low number of ADMM iterations. The average computation time for a timestep varies between a few microseconds to $\sim 2.4s$ for our scenes composed of hundreds of rigid bodies. Shock propagation adds visual realism and reduces the number of persisting contacts but at the cost of a large overhead. For instance, for the **Wall**, shock propagation reduces the number of contacts by 33%, but the computation time increases from 1.5s to 2.4s per timestep. The non-smooth static friction is accurately captured, and our extension convincingly emulates the effects of dynamic friction and lubricated contacts.

Similarly to other local-global methods, monitoring the error metric described in Sec. 2.3 shows a fast decrease over the first iterations before a slowdown. Our contact merging scheme helps accelerate the convergence. Disabling it in the **Chain** for example yields a simulation where the chain wiggles spontaneously, requiring an increase in ADMM precision to $\epsilon_A = 10^{-6}$.

4 LIMITATIONS

Our approach is based on the Delassus operator $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{H}^\top$ which robustly couples the local problems to the dynamic equation. However, this operator becomes denser with elastic bodies and can become ill-conditioned when contacts outnumber degrees of freedom. Ergo, methods to simplify this operator (e.g. [Otaduy et al. 2009; Zeng et al. 2022]), and to preserve a good convergence rate even when contact points are overabundant [Alart 2014] are potential and interesting future works.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the anonymous reviewers and the members of the Visual Computing Group at ISTA for their helpful comments. This research was supported by the Scientific Service Units (SSU) of ISTA through resources provided by Scientific Computing, and was funded in part by the European Union (ERC-2021-COG 101045083 CoDiNA).

REFERENCES

- V. Acary, F. Cadoux, C. Lemaréchal, and J. Malick. 2011. A formulation of the linear discrete Coulomb friction problem via convex optimization. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics* 91, 2 (Feb. 2011), 155–175. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.201000073>
- P. Alart. 2014. How to overcome indetermination and interpenetration in granular systems via nonsmooth contact dynamics. An exploratory investigation. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 270 (2014), 37–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2013.11.020>
- P. Alart and A. Curnier. 1991. A mixed formulation for frictional contact problems prone to Newton like solution methods. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* 92, 3 (1991), 353–375.
- Kenny Erleben. 2017. Rigid Body Contact Problems Using Proximal Operators. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGGRAPH / Eurographics Symposium on Computer Animation (Los Angeles, California) (SCA '17)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 13, 12 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3099564.3099575>
- Z. Ferguson, M. Li, T. Schneider, F. Gil-Ureta, T. Langlois, C. Jiang, D. Zorin, D. M. Kaufman, and D. Panozzo. 2021. Intersection-Free Rigid Body Dynamics. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 40, 4, Article 183 (jul 2021), 16 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3450626.3459802>
- L. Lan, D. M. Kaufman, M. Li, C. Jiang, and Y. Yang. 2022. Affine Body Dynamics: Fast, Stable and Intersection-Free Simulation of Stiff Materials. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 41, 4, Article 67 (jul 2022), 14 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3528223.3530064>
- M. Macklin, K. Erleben, M. Müller, N. Chentanez, S. Jeschke, and T.Y. Kim. 2020. Primal/Dual Descent Methods for Dynamics. *Computer Graphics Forum* 39, 8 (2020), 89–100. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14104>
- J.-J. Moreau. 1988. Unilateral contact and dry friction in finite freedom dynamics. Nonsmooth mechanics and applications, CISM Courses Lect. 302, 1–82 (1988).
- M. Müller, M. Macklin, N. Chentanez, S. Jeschke, and T.-Y. Kim. 2020. Detailed Rigid Body Simulation with Extended Position Based Dynamics. *Computer Graphics Forum* 39, 8 (2020), 101–112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14105>
- N. S. Nguyen and B. Brogliato. 2018. *Comparisons of Multiple-Impact Laws For Multibody Systems: Moreau’s Law, Binary Impacts, and the LZB Approach*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 1–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-75972-2_1
- M. A. Otaduy, R. Tamstorf, D. Steinemann, and M. H. Gross. 2009. Implicit Contact Handling for Deformable Objects. *Computer Graphics Forum (Proc. Eurographics’09)* 28, 2 (apr 2009). <http://www.gmr.v.es/Publications/2009/OTSG09>
- P. D. Panagiotopoulos. 1975. A nonlinear programming approach to the unilateral contact-, and friction-boundary value problem in the theory of elasticity. *Ingenieur-Archiv* 44, 6 (1975), 421–432. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00534623>
- Morten Silcowitz, Sarah Niebe, and Kenny Erleben. 2009. Nonsmooth Newton Method for Fischer Function Reformulation of Contact Force Problems for Interactive Rigid Body Simulation. *VRIPHYS 2009 - 6th Workshop on Virtual Reality Interactions and Physical Simulations*, 105–114. <https://doi.org/10.2312/PE/vrphys/vrphys09/105-114>
- B. Smith, D. M. Kaufman, E. Vouga, R. Tamstorf, and E. Grinspun. 2012. Reflections on Simultaneous Impact. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 31, 4, Article 106 (July 2012), 12 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2185520.2185602>
- A. Tasora, D. Mangoni, S. Benatti, and R. Garziera. 2021. Solving Variational Inequalities and Cone Complementarity Problems in Non-Smooth Dynamics using the Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers. *Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg.* 122 (04 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1002/nme.6693>
- Z. Zeng, S. Cotin, and H. Courtecuisse. 2022. Real-Time FE Simulation for Large-Scale Problems Using Precondition-Based Contact Resolution and Isolated DOFs Constraints. *Computer Graphics Forum* 41, 6 (June 2022), 418–434. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14563>